arXiv:1606.02791v1 [math.FA] 9 Jun 2016

A note on the boundedness of discrete commutators on Morrey spaces and their preduals

Yoshihiro Sawano

June 10, 2016

Abstract

Dyadic fractional integral operators are shown to be bounded on Morrey spaces and their preduals. It seems that the proof of the boundedness by means of dyadic fractional integral operators is effective particularly on the preduals. In the present paper the commutators are proved to be bounded as well.

1 Introduction

In the present paper, we consider the dyadic analysis of Morrey spaces and their preduals. The Haar wavelet, which plays a central role in this field, is given as follows: First, we write

$$h^{\varepsilon_i}(t) := \chi_{[0,1)}(2t) + (-1)^{\varepsilon_i}\chi_{[1,2)}(2t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{1}$$

for $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Given $\varepsilon \in E := (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \setminus \{(0,0,\dots,0)\}$, we define

$$h^{\varepsilon} := h^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes h^{\varepsilon_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h^{\varepsilon_n}, \text{ that is, } h^{\varepsilon}(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} h^{\varepsilon_i}(x_i). \tag{2}$$

By $\mathcal{D}$ we mean the set of all dyadic cubes. If we write $Q_{jm} := \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left[\frac{m_\nu}{2^j}, \frac{m_\nu+1}{2^j}\right)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then we have $\mathcal{D} = \{Q_{jm} : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. The set $\mathcal{D}_j$ is the subset of $\mathcal{D}$ made up of the cubes of volume 2^{-jn}: $\mathcal{D}_j = \{Q_{jm} : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. Given a dyadic cube $Q = Q_{jm} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$, we define the corresponding Haar function by

$$h_Q^{\varepsilon}(x) := 2^{jn/2}h^{\varepsilon}(2^j x - m). \tag{3}$$

The idea of discretizing I_α dates back to Lacey's 2007 paper [5].

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26B33, 41E17, Secondary 42B25, 42B35
Key words and phrases: Riesz potentials, wavelets

Now we will describe Morrey spaces, the function spaces considered in the present paper. Let $1 \le q \le p < \infty$. Then let us define the Morrey norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}$ by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} |Q|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_Q |f(y)|^q \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{4}$$

where $f \in L^{q,\mathrm{loc}}$. We will also use the dyadic BMO space. Given a cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f \in L^{1,\mathrm{loc}}$, we can write $m_Q(f) := \dfrac{1}{|Q|} \displaystyle\int_Q f(x) \, dx$. The dyadic sharp maximal operator here is defined by

$$M^{\sharp,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{D}} m_Q(|f - m_Q(f)|). \tag{5}$$

A function $a \in L^{1,\mathrm{loc}}$ is said to belong to the dyadic BMO, which we will write as $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$, if $M^{\sharp,\mathrm{dyadic}} a \in L^\infty$. We define the dyadic BMO norm by $\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} := \|M^{\sharp,\mathrm{dyadic}} a\|_\infty$.

The present paper, based upon Theorem 1.1, considers the boundedness of commutators. Throughout the paper, for $A, B > 0$, we write $A \lesssim B$ to indicate that there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that $A \le c\,B$ and that this constant depends only on p, q, s, t, α which will appear in each theorem. We also use $A \gtrsim B$ to denote $B \lesssim A$ and $A \sim B$ to denote the two-sided inequality $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < q \le p < \infty$.*

(*i*) *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^p_q$. Then we have equivalence*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \sim \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q \rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}. \tag{6}$$

(*ii*) *If a locally integrable function f satisfies*

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q \rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} < \infty, \tag{7}$$

then the limit

$$g := \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \sum_{j=-M}^{M} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q \rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \tag{8}$$

exists in the topology of $L^{q,\mathrm{loc}}$ and defines an $\mathcal{M}^p_q$-function. Furthermore,

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \sim \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q \rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}.$$

The following paraproduct plays an important role in the proof of the boundedness of commutators. The next result follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $a \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$ and $1 < q \le p < \infty$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, \chi_Q \rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.$$

One formally defines

$$I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) := \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x). \tag{9}$$

We can justify the definition of $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}$. In particular, we can also justify the convergence of the sum (9) in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < q \le p < \infty$, $1 < t \le s < \infty$. Assume*

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \ \frac{t}{s} = \frac{q}{p}. \tag{10}$$

Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^p$,

$$I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \left(\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \sum_{j=-M}^{M} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) \right) \tag{11}$$

converges for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we have

$$\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < q \le p < \infty$, $1 < t \le s < \infty$ and $a \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$. Assume*

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \ \frac{t}{s} = \frac{q}{p}. \tag{13}$$

Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^p$, the limit

$$\begin{aligned} [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] f(x) &= a(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[a \cdot f](x) \\ &:= \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \sum_{j=-M}^{M} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle a \cdot I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[a \cdot f], h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) \end{aligned}$$

exists in the topology of $L^{q,\mathrm{loc}}$ and we have

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] f \|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}. \tag{14}$$

Next, we prove that the operator norm is characterized by the dyadic BMO norm.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $a \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$. Suppose that we are given parameters p, q, s, t, α satisfying*

$$1 < q \le p < \infty, \quad 1 < t \le s < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < n$$

and

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{t}{s}, \quad \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}.$$

Then we have

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{B(\mathcal{M}^p_q, \mathcal{M}^s_t)} \sim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}.$$

Needless to say, it is significant to prove that

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{B(\mathcal{M}^p_q, \mathcal{M}^s_t)} \gtrsim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}$$

in view of Theorem 1.4. In the usual setting of $p = q$ and $s = t$, Theorem 1.4 is known as the result due to S. Chanillo [1].

All the results above carry over to predual spaces. Recall that the predual space $\mathcal{H}^p_q$ of the Morrey space $\mathcal{M}^{p'}_{q'}$ is given as follows: Let $1 < p \le q < \infty$.

(*i*) A function $A \in L^q$ is said to be a (p, q)-block, if there exists a dyadic cube Q such that $\|A\|_{L^q} \le |Q|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}}$ and that A is supported on Q.

(*ii*) The predual space $\mathcal{H}^p_q$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}^p_q := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \ : \ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| < \infty \text{ and each } a_j \text{ is a } (p, q)\text{-block} \right\} \tag{15}$$

and the norm is given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p_q} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \ : \ f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \text{ and each } a_j \text{ is a } (p, q)\text{-block} \right\} \tag{16}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}^p_q$.

A well-known fact is that the dual of $\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}$ is $\mathcal{M}^p_q$ (see [13]). Therefore, it seems easy to prove this theorem by duality.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < r \le r_0 < \infty$ and $1 < p \le p_0 < \infty$. Assume in addition*

$$\frac{1}{r_0} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \quad \frac{r}{r_0} = \frac{p}{p_0}.$$

(*i*) *The fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}$, which is originally defined on $L^{r'_0}$, is bounded from $\mathcal{H}^{r'_0}_{r'}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{p'_0}_{p'}$. That is,*

$$\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'_0}_{p'}} \le C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r'_0}_{r'}}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{r'_0}_{r'}$

(*ii*) *The commutator* $[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$, *which is originally defined on* $L^{r_0'}$, *is bounded from* $\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}$ *to* $\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}$.

Actually, we invoke dualtiy to prove this theorem. However, we need to pay attention to perform duality argument. Here is a "wrong" proof for $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}$. The same can be said for $[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$ or I_α.

Wrong proof of Thoerem 1.6. By duality argument, we have

$$\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} = \sup\left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) h(x)\, dx \right| \,:\, h \in \mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p,\ \|h\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p} = 1 \right\}.$$

In view of the definition of $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) h(x)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} h(x)\, dx.$$

If we invoke the boundedness of $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}$ obtained in Theorem 1.3 and we denote by $\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}\|_{B(\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p, \mathcal{M}^{r_0}_r)}$ the operator norm, then we have

$$\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} \le \|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}\|_{B(\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p, \mathcal{M}^{r_0}_r)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}}.$$

The proof is now complete. □

Here is some gap in the proof: There is no guarantee for $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f$ to be a member of $\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}$. So to overcome this trouble, we need to take full advantage of the dyadic fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}$: $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} h^\varepsilon_R = |R|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} h^\varepsilon_R$.

Next, we investigate the compactness of the commutator $[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$. To this end we define $\mathrm{VMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$ as the closure of $\mathrm{Span}(\{h^\varepsilon_Q\}_{\varepsilon\in E,\, Q\in\mathcal{D}})$, where $\mathrm{Span}(A)$ denotes a linear subspace generated by a set A.

Theorem 1.7. *Let* $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < q \le p < \infty$ *and* $1 < t \le s < \infty$. *Assume*

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \quad \frac{t}{s} = \frac{q}{p}. \tag{17}$$

Then $a \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$ *generates a compact commutator* $[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] : \mathcal{M}^p_q \to \mathcal{M}^s_t$ *if and only if* $a \in \mathrm{VMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$.

We remark that the "if" part of Theorem 1.7 is investigated in [7].

All the theorems above are proved in Section 3 after collecting some auxiliary facts in Section 2.

2 Preliminaries

Here we collect some preliminary facts. For the proof of Proposition 2.1 we refer to [4, Chapter 2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 < q < \infty$.*

(i) For $f \in L^q$, the following equivalence holds:

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \sim \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^q}. \tag{18}$$

(ii) For $f \in L^q$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following equivalence holds:

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \sim \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^q} + \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_k} \langle f, \chi_Q \rangle \frac{\chi_Q}{|Q|} \right\|_{L^q}. \tag{19}$$

(iii) For $f \in L^{q,\mathrm{loc}}$ and $R \in \mathcal{D}$, the following equivalence holds:

$$\|f - m_R(f)\|_{L^q(R)} \sim \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\log_2 \ell(R)}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j,\, Q \subset R} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^q}. \tag{20}$$

Here the implicit constant in (19) *does not depend on k.*

A counterpart of Proposition 2.1 for Herz spaces was proved in [6]. So, it seems possible to extend the results to these spaces.

This is the only propositions whose proof we omit in the present paper.

When $n = 1$, the next proposition is [5, Theorem 2.6.].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $a \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Then the following is an equivalent norm of $\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}$*:

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, \chi_Q \rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon}{|Q|} \right) \right\|_{L^q} : f \in L^q,\ \|f\|_{L^q} = 1 \right\}.$$

This theorem is motivated by the results due to Coifman and Meyer. (See [2, 3].)

Proof. This is somehow well known [5, Theorem 2.6.]. The proof of

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, \chi_Q \rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon \rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon}{|Q|} \right) \right\|_{L^q} : f \in L^q,\ \|f\|_{L^q} = 1 \right\} \gtrsim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}$$

can be proved by the cube testing and Proposition 2.1. For the reverse inequality, we use an argument of $T1$-type as well as the Carleson embedding theorem when $p = 2$. The situation resembles that in [12, p.302 (64)]. □

Here and below, for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $R \in \mathcal{D}$, we write R_{+k} for the unique dyadic cube containing R and of volume $2^{kn}|R|$.

$$|R_{+k}| = 2^{kn}|R|,\ R \subset R_{+k},\ R_{+k} \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{21}$$

Finally before we prove Theorems 1.1–1.7, we shall obtain a counterpart of Proposition 2.2 for Morrey spaces.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $1 < q \le p < \infty$. Then we have*

$$\sup_f \sum_{\varepsilon\in E} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon}{|Q|} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \sim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}, \tag{22}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $f \in \mathcal{M}^p_q$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} = 1$.

Proof. Observe that by Proposition 2.1 (see (20)) we have

$$\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} \sim \sup_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, R\subset Q} \langle a, h_R^\varepsilon\rangle h_R^\varepsilon(x) \right|^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Consequently the inequality $\gtrsim$ in (22) follows by considering $f = |Q|^{-1/p-1/2} h_Q^\varepsilon$ for a cube Q.

Let us prove the inequality $\lesssim$ in (22). Let S be a fixed dyadic cube. Then we need to show that

$$|S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_S \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon(x)}{|Q|} \right) \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}^p_q$ with norm 1.

By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_S \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle \chi_S f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon(x)}{|Q|} \right) \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le |S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle \chi_S f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon(x)}{|Q|} \right) \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} |S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_S f\|_{L^q}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the Morrey norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}$ we have

$$|S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_S\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle\chi_S f,\chi_Q\rangle\cdot\langle a,h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle\frac{h^\varepsilon_Q(x)}{|Q|}\right)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}.$$

Meanwhile, a geometric observation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&|S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_S\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S}f,\chi_Q\rangle\cdot\langle a,h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle\frac{h^\varepsilon_Q(x)}{|Q|}\right)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\&=|S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_S\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\langle\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S}f,\chi_{S_{+k}}\rangle\cdot\langle a,h^\varepsilon_{S_{+k}}\rangle\frac{h^\varepsilon_{S_{+k}}(x)}{|S_{+k}|}\right)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

where S_{+k} is given by (21) with R replaced with S. With the definition of the Morrey norm (4), a crude estimate

$$|\langle a,h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle|\le C|Q|^{1/2}\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}$$

and this observation (23) in mind, we obtain

$$|S|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_S\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S}f,\chi_Q\rangle\cdot\langle a,h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle\frac{h^\varepsilon_Q(x)}{|Q|}\right)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}.$$

The inequality $\lesssim$ in (22) is proved and the proof is therefore complete. □

3 Proof of Theorems

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall prove an auxiliary inequality which is interesting of its own right.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1\le q\le p<\infty$. Let $f\in\mathcal{M}^p_q$. Then we have equivalence*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}\sim\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_1}.\tag{24}$$

Proof. Let $R\in\mathcal{D}$ be fixed throughout the proof.

By virtue of a crude estimate and the Hölder inequality

$$|\langle f,h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}|\le|R_{+m}|^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_1}\tag{25}$$

we obtain

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_R\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\supset R}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}\le\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}. \tag{26}$$

Keeping in mind (26), let us first prove that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}\gtrsim\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}. \tag{27}$$

By Proposition 2.1 (i) and (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_R\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\lesssim|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R|f(x)|^q\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_R\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus R}f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (27) is established.

Now let us prove the converse inequality of (27). First by the triangle inequality and the definition of the Morrey norm (4), we have

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R|f(x)|^q\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\le|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R|f(x)-m_R(f)|^q\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}.$$

By Proposition 2.1 (iii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R|f(x)|^q\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\lesssim|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle h_Q^\varepsilon f\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)-m_R\left[\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle h_Q^\varepsilon f\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right]\right|^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}\\
&=|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subset R}\langle h_Q^\varepsilon f\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use (26) again, then we have

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subset R}\langle h_Q^\varepsilon f\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\lesssim |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_R\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle h_Q^\varepsilon f\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete. □

Let us now prove Theorem 1.1. In view of Lemma 3.1, for the proof of (i) it suffices to establish

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}}m_R(|f|)=|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\int_R|f(x)|\,dx\lesssim\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}. \tag{28}$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}}m_R(|f|)=\lim_{k\to\infty}|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\int_R|f(x)-m_{R_{+k}}(f)|\,dx$$
$$\lesssim\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)}|R_{+k}|^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\int_{R_{+k}}|f(x)-m_{R_{+k}}(f)|\,dx$$

We calculate, by using Proposition 2.1 and the fact that $p>1$,

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}}m_R(|f|)\lesssim\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)}|R_{+k}|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{R_{+k}}\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle h_Q^\varepsilon f\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)\right|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\lesssim\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.$$

As a conseqeunce (28) is proved.

Therefore, the proof of (i) is complete.

For the proof of (ii) we fix a compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ and prove that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon-\sum_{j=-M}^{M}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right\|_{L^q(K)}=0. \tag{29}$$

However, since K can be covered by 3^n dyadic cubes of the same size, we have only to prove (29) with K replaced by a dyadic cube $R\in\mathcal{D}_k$, where $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ is a fixed integer. Let us denote

$$f_j^\varepsilon:=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \tag{30}$$

for $\varepsilon \in E$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x \in R$ and $M \geq 1 + |k|$, then we have

$$\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^\varepsilon(x) - \sum_{j=-M}^{M} f_j^\varepsilon(x)\right| = \sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left|\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} f_j^\varepsilon(x) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-M-1} f_j^\varepsilon(x)\right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left|\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j\\ Q\subset R}} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)\right| + \sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-M-1} f_j^\varepsilon(x)\right|.$$

Recall that $R \in \mathcal{D}_k$. Consequently, we can write

$$f_j^\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) = \langle f, h^\varepsilon_{R_{+(-j+k)}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+(-j+k)}}(x) \quad (x \in R_k), \tag{31}$$

if j is negative enough, that is, $j \leq -M - 1 < -|k|$. If we use (31), then we obtain

$$\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^\varepsilon(x) - \sum_{j=-M}^{M} f_j^\varepsilon(x)\right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\left|\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset R} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)\right| + \sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\sum_{m=M+k+1}^{\infty} |\langle f, h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}(x)|.$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^\varepsilon - \sum_{j=-M}^{M} f_j^\varepsilon\right\|_{L^q(R)}$$
$$\leq \left\|\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset R} \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right\|_{L^q(R)} + \sum_{m=M+k+1}^{\infty}\left\|\langle f, h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\right\|_{L^q(R)}.$$

A geometric observation shows that

$$\left\|\langle f, h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\right\|_{L^q(R)}$$
$$= 2^{-mn/q}\left\|\langle f, h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\right\|_{L^q(R_{+m})}$$
$$= 2^{-mn/p+kn(1/p-1/q)}|R_{+m}|^{1/p-1/q}\left\|\langle f, h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\rangle h^\varepsilon_{R_{+m}}\right\|_{L^q(R_{+m})}.$$

If we use this equality, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^{\varepsilon} - \sum_{j=-M}^{M} f_j^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^q(R)} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset R} \langle f, h_Q^{\varepsilon}\rangle h_Q^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^q(R)} + \sum_{m=M+k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-mn/q} \left\| \langle f, h_{R_{+m}}^{\varepsilon}\rangle h_{R_{+m}}^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^q(R_{+m})} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} |f_j^{\varepsilon}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^q(R)} + 2^{-nM/p-nk/q} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_j^{\varepsilon}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (29), which shows that (8) holds in the topology of $L^{q,\mathrm{loc}}$. As a consequence Theorem 1.1 is proved completely.

Remark 3.2. It may be interesting to compare Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.1 with the result in [11, Theorem 1.3]. In [11, Theorem 1.3], we have proved that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \sim \|M^{\sharp} f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p} \, (1 < q \le p < \infty).$$

Here $M^{\sharp}$ denotes the sharp maximal operator due to Fefferman, Stein and Stromberg.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $\varepsilon \in E$ be fixed. We also take a dyadic cube R. Then it suffices from Theorem 1.1

$$\mathrm{I} := |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_R \left(\sum_{j=-\log_2 \ell(R)}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset R} \langle f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^{\varepsilon}\rangle h_Q^{\varepsilon}(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

by $C\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{dyadic}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$ with constants independent of R, a and f. By using Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$\mathrm{I} = |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_R \left(\sum_{j=-\log_2 \ell(R)}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset R} \langle \chi_R f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^{\varepsilon}\rangle h_Q^{\varepsilon}(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Note that $\{Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}_j\}$ partitions $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consequently, we have

$$\mathrm{I} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_R \left(\sum_{j=-\log_2 \ell(R)}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset R} \langle \chi_R f, \chi_Q\rangle |Q|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_Q^{\varepsilon}(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

from the definition of $\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}$. If we use the definition of the Morrey norm (4) crudely, then we have

$$\mathrm{I} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_R |f(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.$$

Therefore, since R is arbitrary, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We shall make use of the following estimate in the proof of Theorem 1.4 as well as Theorem 1.3. Actually Proposition 3.3 is a little stronger than Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < q \le p < \infty$ and $1 < t \le s < \infty$. Assume*

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \quad \frac{t}{s} = \frac{q}{p}. \tag{32}$$

Then

$$\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{M}^s_t} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right| \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^s_t} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}. \tag{33}$$

Proof. The proof is simple: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed and choose $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}_j$ so that $x \in Q_0$. If we use a simple inequality

$$\left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q(x) \right| \le \ell(Q_0)^\alpha m_{Q_0}(|f|) \le \ell(Q_0)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} = 2^{-j\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{p}\right)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}, \tag{34}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right| &\le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-j\alpha} \min\left(\left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right|, 2^{\frac{jn}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \right) \\
&\le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-j\alpha} \min\left(\sup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_l} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right|, 2^{\frac{jn}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \right) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|^{1-\frac{p}{s}}_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \sup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_l} \langle f, h^\varepsilon_Q\rangle h^\varepsilon_Q \right|^{\frac{p}{s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use this pointwise estimate, then we obtain the desired estimate. □

Remark 3.4. It may be interesting compare Proposition 3.3 with the following result. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ be chosen so that $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ if $2 \le |\xi| \le 4$ and that $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \le 1$ or if $|\xi| \ge 8$. Then in [8], we have established

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |2^{j\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)\mathcal{F}f]| \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^s_t} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}. \tag{35}$$

Estimate (35) admits an extension to Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces as we did in [8].

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We freeze $\varepsilon \in E$ for a while. By definition of $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \{h_Q^\varepsilon(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon f](x)\}$$
$$= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \sum_{\varepsilon'\in E} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_l} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_R^{\varepsilon'}\rangle \{h_Q^\varepsilon(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x) - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_R^{\varepsilon'}](x)\}.$$

Observe that, if $Q \supsetneq R$, then $h_Q^\varepsilon h_R^{\varepsilon'} = m_R(h_Q^\varepsilon) h_R^{\varepsilon'}$ and hence

$$h_Q^\varepsilon(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x) = I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_R^{\varepsilon'}](x). \tag{36}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \{h_Q^\varepsilon(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x) - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon f](x)\}$$
$$= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \sum_{\varepsilon'\in E} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j} \sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_l} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_R^{\varepsilon'}\rangle \{h_Q^\varepsilon(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x) - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_R^{\varepsilon'}](x)\}.$$

If $Q = R$ and $\varepsilon \neq \varepsilon'$, then we obtain

$$h_Q^\varepsilon(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x) = I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_R^{\varepsilon'}](x). \tag{37}$$

Let us write

$$\mathrm{I}_1(x) := \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \sum_{\varepsilon'\in E} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} \sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_l} |R|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_R^{\varepsilon'}\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x),$$
$$\mathrm{I}_2(x) := \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \sum_{\varepsilon'\in E} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} \sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_l} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_R^{\varepsilon'}\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x),$$
$$\mathrm{II}(x) := \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} |h_Q^\varepsilon(x)|^2,$$
$$\mathrm{III}(x) := \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[|h_Q^\varepsilon|^2](x).$$

Note that both I_1 and III have another expression:

$$\mathrm{I}_1(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f, \chi_Q\rangle \cdot \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \frac{h_Q^\varepsilon}{|Q|} \right),$$
$$\mathrm{III}(x) = I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} \left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle \langle f, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle |h_Q^\varepsilon|^2 \right](x).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle(h_Q^\varepsilon(x)I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}f(x)-I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon f](x))\\
&=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\sum_{\varepsilon'\in E}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1}\sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_l}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle f,h_R^{\varepsilon'}\rangle\{h_Q^\varepsilon(x)I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x)-I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_R^{\varepsilon'}](x)\}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\{h_Q^\varepsilon(x)I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}h_Q^\varepsilon(x)-I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_Q^\varepsilon](x)\}\\
&=\mathrm{I}_1(x)-\mathrm{I}_2(x)+\mathrm{II}(x)-\mathrm{III}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us start with dealing with I_1. If we invoke again Theorem 1.2, then we have

$$\|\mathrm{I}_1\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}f\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_2(x)&=\sum_{\varepsilon'\in E}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1}\sum_{R\in\mathcal{D}_l}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq R}|R|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle f,h_R^{\varepsilon'}\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)h_R^{\varepsilon'}(x)\\
&=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}f,\chi_Q\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x),
\end{aligned}$$

we have by Theorem 1.2

$$\|\mathrm{I}_2\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}=\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}f,\chi_Q\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}f\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}$$

If we invoke Theorem 1.3, then we have

$$\|\mathrm{I}_2\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.$$

By Proposition 3.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{II}\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}&=\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\cdot h_Q^\varepsilon\right|\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\\
&\le\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\\
&\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by Proposition 3.3 and equality $I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^\varepsilon h_Q^\varepsilon](x)=c_\alpha|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\chi_Q(x)$, we have

$$\|\mathrm{III}\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\lesssim\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}|\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle\langle f,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}|\chi_Q\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}.$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

3.5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Let $U \in \mathcal{D}$ be fixed. Then we have

$$[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]h_U^{\varepsilon''}(x) = \sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}(|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} - |U|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}})\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x)h_U^{\varepsilon''}(x)$$
$$+ \langle a, h_U^{\varepsilon''}\rangle\langle f, h_U^{\varepsilon''}\rangle(|U|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_U^{\varepsilon''}(x)h_U^{\varepsilon''}(x) - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[h_Q^{\varepsilon''}h_U^{\varepsilon''}](x)).$$

By virtue of the non-homogeneous wavelet expansion (see (19)), we obtain

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{B(\mathcal{M}_t^s,\mathcal{M}_q^p)} \geq \| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]h_U^{\varepsilon''} \|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}|U|^{-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\gtrsim \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}(|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} - |U|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}})\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s} |U|^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{B(\mathcal{M}_t^s,\mathcal{M}_q^p)} \gtrsim |U|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon h_U^{\varepsilon''} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}$$
$$= \frac{1}{|U|^{\frac{1}{s}}} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{|U|^{\frac{1}{t}}} \left(\int_U \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) \right|^t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}}.$$

By the Hölder inequalty we have

$$\frac{1}{|U|}\int_U \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon(x) \right| dx \lesssim \| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{B(\mathcal{M}_t^s,\mathcal{M}_q^p)}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$m_U\left(\left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subsetneq U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) \lesssim \frac{\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]h_U^{\varepsilon''} \|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}}{\|h_U^{\varepsilon''}\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}} \tag{38}$$

for all $U \in \mathcal{D}$. Denote by U^* the dyadic parent of U, that is, the smallest dyadic cube engulfing U. With U replaced by U^* above, we obtain

$$m_U\left(\left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subset U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) \lesssim \frac{\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]h_U^{\varepsilon''} \|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s}}{\|h_U^{\varepsilon''}\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}}. \tag{39}$$

It follows from the definition of the operator norm $\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p\to\mathcal{M}_t^s}$ that

$$m_U\left(\left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subset U}\langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) \lesssim \| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p\to\mathcal{M}_t^s}. \tag{40}$$

If we take the supremum over $U \in \mathcal{D}$ in (40), then we obtain

$$\|a\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}} \lesssim \| \, [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] \, \|_{B(\mathcal{M}^s_t, \mathcal{M}^p_q)}.$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

3.6 Proof of Theorem 1.6

By Theorem 1.1 (*iii*), we see that $\{h^\varepsilon_Q\}_{Q\in\mathcal{D},\varepsilon\in E}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}$. Thus, to check (*i*) and (*ii*), we need only to prove

$$\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} + \|[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}}$$

for all $f \in \mathrm{Span}(\{h^\varepsilon_Q\}_{Q\in\mathcal{D},\varepsilon\in E})$. If we assume $f \in \mathrm{Span}(\{h^\varepsilon_Q\}_{Q\in\mathcal{D},\varepsilon\in E})$, then from the definition we have

$$I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f, [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}] f \in \mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}. \tag{41}$$

Observe that (41) counts in that we can obtain (41) only by using the discrete fractional integral operators. Consequently, if we invoke Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} &= \sup_{g\in\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f(x)\, dx \right| \\
&= \sup_{g\in\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} g(x) f(x)\, dx \right| \\
&\le \sup_{g\in\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p}} \|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{r_0}_r} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}} \\
&\le \|I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_p \to \mathcal{M}^{r_0}_r} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is now complete.

Remark 3.5. A usual averaging procedure yields the following corollaries. For this technique we refer to [5].

Corollary 3.6. *Maintain the same conditions on the parameters p, p_0, r, r_0, α. If a function a belongs to* BMO*, then the following boundedness is true*:

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} + \|[a, I_\alpha] f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p_0'}_{p'}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r_0'}_{r'}},$$

where I_α is given by

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}\, dy.$$

As for I_α we made an alternative approach in [9, Theorem 3.1] and [10, Theorem 3.1].

3.7 Proof of Theorem 1.7

"If part" is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.5. Let us prove the converse. To this end, we need the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Suppose that we are given a compact linear operator $T : X \to Y$. If $\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in X^* that is weak-* convergent to 0. Then $\{T^* f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is norm-convergent to 0.*

Now let us prove $a \in \mathrm{VMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$ assuming that $[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$ is compact.

Let us set

$$[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]_{\geq L} := \lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{\varepsilon\in E} \sum_{j=L}^{M} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a \cdot I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[a\cdot f], h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon. \tag{42}$$

Then we have

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]_{\geq L} \|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q\to\mathcal{M}^s_t} \lesssim \sum_{\varepsilon\in E} \sup_{U\in\mathcal{D}} m_U \left(\left| \sum_{j=L}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset U} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) \tag{43}$$

by virtue of Theorem 1.4. The triangle inequality yields

$$\sup_{U\in\mathcal{D}} m_U \left(\left| \sum_{j=L}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset U} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) = \sup_{U\in\bigcup_{\nu=L}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_\nu} m_U \left(\left| \sum_{j=L}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset U} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right).$$

According to (39) and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} \sup_{U\in\mathcal{D}} m_U \left(\left| \sum_{j=L}^{\infty} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset U} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) = 0.$$

Thus, assuming that $[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$ is compact, we have

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]_{\geq L} = 0 \tag{44}$$

in the operator topology. Also, we set

$$[a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]_{\leq -L} := \lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{\varepsilon\in E} \sum_{j=-M}^{-L} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j} \langle a \cdot I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}} f - I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}[a\cdot f], h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon. \tag{45}$$

Then we have

$$\| [a, I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]_{\leq -L} \|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q\to\mathcal{M}^s_t} \lesssim \sum_{\varepsilon\in E} \sup_{U\in\mathcal{D}} m_U \left(\left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-L} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\, Q\subset U} \langle a, h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon \right| \right) \tag{46}$$

by virtue of Theorem 1.4. Note that

$$\sup_{U\in\mathcal{D}} m_U\left(\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-L}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subset U}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|\right)$$
$$=\sup\left\{m_U\left(\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-L}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j,\,Q\subset U}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon\right|\right)\,:\,U\in\bigcup_{\nu=-\infty}^{-L}\mathcal{D}_\nu\right\}$$

in order that $Q\subset U$ actually happens. Thus, assuming that $[a,I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$ is compact, we have

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}[a,I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]_{\le -L}=0 \tag{47}$$

again in the opertor topology. From (44) and (47) we have

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\|a-a_{(L)}\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}=0, \tag{48}$$

if we write

$$a_{(L)}:=\sum_{\varepsilon\in E}\sum_{j=-L}^{L}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_j}\langle a,h_Q^\varepsilon\rangle h_Q^\varepsilon.$$

It is not so hard to see that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\sup\{|\langle a,h_{Q_{\nu m}}^\varepsilon\rangle|\,:\,m\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|m|\ge R\}=0 \tag{49}$$

for all $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$ if $[a,I_{\alpha,\mathrm{dyadic}}]$ is compact. Thus, it follows that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\left\|\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\,|m|>R}\langle a,h_{Q_{jm}}^\varepsilon\rangle h_{Q_{jm}}^\varepsilon\right\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}}=0 \tag{50}$$

for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}$.

From (50) we learn that $a_{(L)}\in\mathrm{VMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$, which in turn yields $a\in\mathrm{VMO}_{\mathrm{dyadic}}$ by virtue of (48).

4 Acknowledgement

The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 24740085 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The author is also indebted to Zenis Co. Ltd for the check of the presentation in English in Section 1.

References

[1] Chanillo S., A note on commutators. Indiana Univ. Math. J. (1) **31** (1982), 7–16.

[2] Coifman R.R. and Meyer Y., On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 315–331.

[3] Coifman R.R. and Meyer Y., Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), (3) **28** (1978), 177–202.

[4] E. Hernández, G. Weiss, A first course on wavelets. With a foreword by Yves Meyer, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996. xx+489 pp.

[5] M. Lacey, Commutators with Reisz potentials in one and several parameters, Hokkaido Math. J. **36** (2007), no. 1, 175–191.

[6] M. Izuki and Y. Sawano, The Haar wavelet characterization of weighted Herz spaces and greediness of the Haar wavelet basis, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **362** (2010), no. 1, 140–155.

[7] Y. Sawano and S. Shirai, Compact commutators on Morrey spaces with non-doubling measures, Georgian Math. J. **15** (2008), no. 2, 353–376.

[8] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, Identification of the image of Morrey spaces by the fractional integral operators, Proceedings of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, **149**, (2009), 87–93.

[9] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, A note on generalized fractional integral operators on generalized Morrey spaces, Bound. Value Probl. 2009, Art. ID 835865, 18 pp.

[10] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, Generalized fractional integral operators and fractional maximal operators in the framework of Morrey spaces, to appear in Transaction of American Mathematical Society.

[11] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, Sharp maximal inequalities and commutators on Morrey spaces with non-doubling measures, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), no. 4, 1091–1112.

[12] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, (1993).

[13] C. Zorko, Morrey space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), No. 4, 586–592.

Yoshihiro Sawano
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,
Tokyo Metropolitan University,
1-1 Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo, 192-0397, Japan
E-mail : ysawano@tmu.ac.jp